2018 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Grade 8 Assessment Report Card: Summary Data Tables for National Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Additional Detail for National Average Scores and Percentage of Students Who Completely/Correctly Finished the Steps in a Sample Task

Table of Contents

Worksheet name	Table title
1 Performance results_TEL Overall	Average scores of eighth-grade students assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL), by various student groups: 2014 and 2018
2 Performance_TEL Content Areas	Average scores of eighth-grade students assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL), by various student groups and TEL content areas: 2014 and 2018
3 Performance TEL Practices	Average scores of eighth-grade students assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL), by various student groups and TEL practices: 2014 and 2018
4 Achievement Level results	Achievement level results of eighth-grade students assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL), by various student groups: 2014 and 2018
5 Andromeda Task Results	Percentage of eighth-grade students who completely/correctly finished the steps in the Andromeda task in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL), by various student groups: 2018
6 ParticipationSampleSize	School and student participation rates at grade 8 in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL), by type of school: 2018
7 SDELL rates	Percentage of students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) identified, excluded, and assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL) at grade 8, as a percentage of all students: 2014 and 2018
8 SDELL identified	Percentage of students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL) at grade 8, as a percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students: 2014 and 2018
9 Accommodation types	Percentage of eighth-grade students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL) with accommodations, by SD/ELL category and type of accommodation: 2018

2018 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Grade 8 Assessment Report Card: Summary Data Tables for National Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Additional Detail for National Average Scores and Percentage of Students Who Completely/Correctly Finished the Steps in a Sample Task

Average scores of eighth-grade students assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL), by various student groups: 2014 and 2018

	Percentag	е	TEL overall		
Characteristic	2014	2018	2014	2018	
All students	100	100	150 *	152	
Race/ethnicity					
White	54	49	160 *	163	
Black	16	13	128 *	132	
Hispanic	22	27	138	139	
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	7	159 *	169	
Asian	5	6	160 *	169	
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	#	#	142	‡	
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	1	146	133	
Two or More Races	2 *	3	154	157	
Gender					
Male	51	50	149	150	
Female	49	50	151 *	155	
Eligibility for National School Lunch					
Program					
Eligible	47	46	135 *	138	
Not eligible	46	47	163	164	
Information not available	7	7	164	166	
Highest level of parental education	'	<u>'</u>	104	100	
Did not finish high school	7	7	133 *	138	
Graduated from high school	15 *	13	137	138	
Some education after high school	15 *	13	152	151	
Graduated from college	53	55	159 *	163	
Unknown	10 *	12	130	130	
Type of school	10	12	130	130	
Public	92	92	149 *	151	
Private: Catholic	4	3	163	167	
Charter school	4	3	103	107	
	4	6	440	440	
Charter school		-	146 149 *	149	
Public, noncharter	96	94	149	151	
School location	00	0.4	444	4.47	
City	29	31	144	147	
Suburb	35	40	154	156	
Town	11	11	149	153	
Rural	25 *	18	152	152	
Region of the country					
Northeast	17	16	155	154	
Midwest	21	21	154	157	
South	38	39	147	149	
West	24	24	148	152	
Status as students with disabilities (SD)					
SD	12	12	116	118	
Not SD	88	88	155 *	157	
Status as English language learners (ELL)		1			
ELL	5 *	6	108	106	
Not ELL	95 *	94	152 *	155	

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The results for students with disabilities and English language learners are based on students who were assessed and cannot be generalized to the total population of such students.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.

^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from 2018.

2018 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Grade 8 Assessment Report Card: Summary Data Tables for National Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Additional Detail for National Average Scores and Percentage of Students Who Completely/Correctly Finished the Steps in a Sample Task

Average scores of eighth-grade students assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL), by various student groups and TEL content areas: 2014 and 2018

		TEL content a	reas			
					Information and	
Technology and S	Society	Design and Sys	stems	Communication Te	chnology	
2014	2018	2014	2018	2014	2018	
150 *	152	150 *	153	150 *	153	
160 *	163	161	164	159 *	162	
129 *	132	127	131	130	133	
138	139	138	141	138	140	
157 *	166	157 *	167	162 *	172	
158 *	167	158 *	168	163 *	172	
141	#	147	‡	137	‡	
147	135	148	135	147	131	
156	157	154	156	152	157	
149	151	150	152	147	149	
151 *	154	150 *	154	153 *	156	
136 *	138	136 *	139	135 *	139	
					164	
					166	
133 *	138	135	138	132 *	140	
					138	
					152	
					163	
					131	
149 *	151	149 *	151	149 *	151	
					168	
146	149	145	149	146	150	
					152	
145	148	144	148	145	148	
					157	
					153	
					152	
155	154	154	155	155	155	
					158	
					149	
					152	
_		-				
119	120	117	120	115	117	
154 *					158	
			.50		. 30	
110	109	111	106	107	106	
					156	
	2014 150 * 160 * 129 * 138 157 * 158 * 141 147 156 149 151 * 136 * 163 164 133 * 138 152 159 * 129 149 * 164 146 149 * 145 154 148 151 155 153 147 148	150 * 152 160 * 163 129 * 132 138 139 157 * 166 158 * 167 141 ‡ 147 135 156 157 149 151 151 * 154 136 * 138 163 164 164 166 133 * 138 138 138 139 * 163 129 130 149 * 151 164 166 149 * 151 164 166 149 * 151 145 148 154 156 148 153 151 153 152 154 153 157 147 150 148 152 119 120 154 * 157 110 109	2014 2018 2014 150 * 152 150 * 160 * 163 161 129 * 132 127 138 139 138 157 * 166 157 * 158 * 167 158 * 141 ‡ 147 147 135 148 156 157 154 149 151 150 * 131 150 150 * 136 * 138 136 * 138 136 * 138 136 * 163 164 163 164 163 164 163 159 * 129 130 131 149 * 163 159 * 129 130 131 149 * 164 166 160 146 * 149 * 145 149 * 151 149 * 164 166 160 144	2014 2018 2014 2018	Technology and Society	

[‡] Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The results for students with disabilities and English language learners are based on students who were assessed and cannot be generalized to the total population of such students.

^{*} Significantly different (*p* < .05) from 2018.

2018 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Grade 8 Assessment Report Card: Summary Data Tables for National Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Additional Detail for National Average Scores and Percentage of Students Who Completely/Correctly Finished the Steps in a Sample Task

Average scores of eighth-grade students assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL), by various student groups and TEL practices: 2014 and 2018

	es					
	Communicating	and	Developing Solut	ons and	Understanding Technological	
	Collaboratin		Achieving Go		Principles	
Characteristic	2014	2018	2014	2018	2014	2018
All students	150 *	153	150 *	152	150 *	152
Race/ethnicity						
White	160 *	163	160 *	163	160	163
Black	129 *	134	128	131	129 *	134
Hispanic	139	140	138	139	138	140
Asian/Pacific Islander	158 *	170	158 *	169	160	166
Asian	159 *	170	160 *	170	161	167
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	144	‡	139	‡	145	‡
American Indian/Alaska Native	152 *	136	146	133	149	134
Two or More Races	155	159	154	156	155	155
Gender						
Male	147	149	149	150	149	151
Female	153 *	157	151 *	155	151 *	154
Eligibility for National School Lunch						
Program						
Eligible	136 *	139	135 *	138	135 *	139
Not eligible	162	164	163	165	163	164
Information not available	164	168	163	166	165	164
Highest level of parental education						
Did not finish high school	135	139	132 *	138	132 *	137
Graduated from high school	138	140	137	138	138	138
Some education after high school	153	151	152	152	151	152
Graduated from college	159 *	163	159 *	163	159 *	163
Unknown	130	130	130	131	130	131
Type of school						
Public	149 *	151	149 *	151	149 *	151
Private: Catholic	164	169	163	167	164	167
Charter school						
Charter school	147	150	146	148	145	153
Public, noncharter	149 *	152	149 *	151	149	151
School location						
City	145 *	149	145	147	145	148
Suburb	154	157	154	156	154	157
Town	149	152	149	154	147	152
Rural	151	152	152	153	152	151
Region of the country						
Northeast	156	155	155	155	154	154
Midwest	153 *	158	154	157	153	157
South	147	150	147	149	148	150
West	148 *	153	148	152	148	151
Status as students with disabilities (SD)						
SD	117	120	117	118	118	120
Not SD	154 *	158	154 *	157	154 *	157
Status as English language learners (ELL)						
ELL	108	106	108	106	111	109
Not ELL	152 *	156	152 *	155	152 *	155

[‡] Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The results for students with disabilities and English language learners are based on students who were assessed and cannot be generalized to the total population of such students.

^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from 2018.

2018 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Grade 8 Assessment Report Card: Summary Data Tables for National Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Additional Detail for National Average Scores and Percentage of Students Who Completely/Correctly Finished the Steps in a Sample Task

Achievement level results of eighth-grade students assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL), by various student groups: 2014 and 2018

	Percentage of students							
	Below N	IAEP			At or above NAEP		At NAEP	
	Bas	ic	Bas	ic	Proficie	ent	Advano	ed
Characteristic	2014	2018	2014	2018	2014	2018	2014	2018
All students	17	16	83	84	43 *	46	3 *	5
Race/ethnicity								
White	9	8	91	92	56	59	5 *	7
Black	35	32	65	68	18 *	23	#	1
Hispanic	24	24	76	76	28	31	1	2
Asian/Pacific Islander	11	8	89	92	54 *	65	7 *	13
Asian	11	8	89	92	56 *	66	7 *	13
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	‡	82	‡	30	#	#	‡
American Indian/Alaska Native	20	33	80	67	42	29	2	2
Two or More Races	12	13	88	87	45	53	5	5
Gender								
Male	18	18	82	82	42	44	3 *	4
Female	15	14	85	86	45 *	49	3 *	5
Eligibility for National School Lunch								
Program								
Eligible	27	26	73	74	25 *	30	1 *	1
Not eligible	7	8	93	92	59	60	6 *	8
Information not available	8	7	92	93	60	63	7	8
Highest level of parental education								
Did not finish high school	28	24	72	76	20 *	29	#	1
Graduated from high school	26	26	74	74	27	29	1	1
Some education after high school	11	14	89	86	44	44	2	3
Graduated from college	11	9	89	91	55 *	59	5 *	7
Unknown	33	34	67	66	20	22	#	1
Type of school								
Public	17	17	83	83	42 *	45	3 *	4
Private: Catholic	6	5	94	95	60	64	5	7
Charter school								
Charter school	18	18	82	82	37	42	3	4
Public, noncharter	17	17	83	83	42 *	45	3 *	4
School location								
City	22	21	78	79	37	42	3 *	4
Suburb	15	13	85	87	48	51	5	6
Town	16	14	84	86	42	46	2	4
Rural	14	15	86	85	45	45	3	4
Region of the country								
Northeast	14	15	86	85	50	49	5	6
Midwest	13	13	87	87	48	52	3	6
South	19	18	81	82	40	43	3	4
West	18	16	82	84	40	45	3	4
Status as students with disabilities (SD)								
SD	51	48	49	52	13	13	1	1
Not SD	12	11	88	89	47 *	51	4 *	5
Status as English language learners (ELL)								
ELL	59	61	41	39	5	5	#	#
Not ELL	14	13	86	87	45 *	49	4 *	5
# Rounds to zero.								

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The results for students with disabilities and English language learners are based on students who were assessed and cannot be generalized to the total population of such students. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2014 and 2018 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Assessments.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.

^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from 2018.

2018 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Grade 8 Assessment Report Card: Summary Data Tables for National Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Additional Detail for National Average Scores and Percentage of Students Who Completely/Correctly Finished the Steps in a Sample Task

Percentage of eighth-grade students who completely/correctly finished the steps in the Andromeda task in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL), by various student groups: 2018

		Percentage of stud	ents who completely/correctly	v finished the stens	
		•	ents who completely/correcti	y initiation the steps	
	Step 1: Determine which	Step 2: Choose suitable	Ston 2: Soloot appropriate		
	web search result is most	image for website and determine which ones can	Step 3: Select appropriate	Stop 4: Identify correct	Stop E: Include required
Characteristic	informative.	be used legally.	reference guide for formatting a citation.	Step 4: Identify correct reference style.	Step 5: Include required information in citation.
All students	69	70	42	51	29
Race/ethnicity	09	70	42	51	29
White	77	77	48	57	32
Black	51	58	32	40	19
			34	44	24
Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander	61 84	61 80	54 60	61	42
Asian/Pacific Islander Asian	85	79	61	62	42
	59	-	35	16	#
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander American Indian/Alaska Native	52	100 56	35 16	44	
·	63		34	44 47	16 21
Two or More Races	03	72	34	47	21
Gender Male	ee	67	27	46	25
	66	67	37 47	46 57	25 32
Female	73	73	47	57	32
Eligibility for National School Lunch					
Program	00	0.4	24	40	00
Eligible	60	61	34	42	20
Not eligible	77	78	49	59	35
Information not available	82	76	55	60	40
Highest level of parental education					
Did not finish high school	70	71	31	46	24
Graduated from high school	63	64	36	44	23
Some education after high school	73	67	47	53	28
Graduated from college	75	76	49	56	34
Unknown	53	56	24	38	14
Type of school					
Public	68	69	41	50	28
Private: Catholic	82	76	61	68	50
Charter school					
Charter school	71	71	49	61	24
Public, noncharter	68	69	41	50	28
School location					
City	65	67	40	51	28
Suburb	72	71	45	52	29
Town	71	71	46	50	30
Rural	70	72	37	52	26
Region of the country					
Northeast	69	70	43	52	29
Midwest	75	70	45	54	32
South	67	71	41	51	25
West	69	69	41	49	30
Status as students with disabilities (SD)					
SD	47	48	21	25	14
Not SD	72	73	45	55	30
Status as English language learners (ELL)					
ELL	38	41	20	25	6
Not ELL	72	72	44	53	30

[#] Rounds to zero

NOTE: Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The results for students with disabilities and English language learners are based on students who were assessed and cannot be generalized to the total population of such students. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2018 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Assessment.

2018 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Grade 8 Assessment Report Card: Summary Data Tables for National Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Additional Detail for National Average Scores and Percentage of Students Who Completely/Correctly Finished the Steps in a Sample Task

School and student participation rates at grade 8 in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL), by type of school: 2018

	School participation			Student pa	rticipation
	Student-	School-	Number of	Student-	Number of
	weighted	weighted	schools	weighted	students
Type of school	percent	percent	participating	percent	assessed
Nation	86	69	600	93	15,400
Public	89	85	530	93	14,100
Private	58	45	70	93	1,300

NOTE: The national totals for schools include Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools) and Bureau of Indian Education schools, which are not included in either the public or private totals. The national totals for students include students in these schools. The number of schools is rounded to the nearest ten. The number of students is rounded to the nearest hundred.

2018 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Grade 8 Assessment Report Card: Summary Data Tables for National Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Additional Detail for National Average Scores and Percentage of Students Who Completely/Correctly Finished the Steps in a Sample Task

Percentage of students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) identified, excluded, and assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL) at grade 8, as a percentage of all students: 2014 and 2018

Student characteristics	2014	2018
SD and/or ELL		
Identified	17	18
Excluded	1	2
Assessed	16	17
Without accommodations	6	6
With accommodations	10	11
SD		
Identified	13	13
Excluded	1	1
Assessed	12	12
Without accommodations	3	3
With accommodations	9	9
ELL		
Identified	5	6
Excluded	#	1
Assessed	5	6
Without accommodations	3	3
With accommodations	2	3

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2014 and 2018 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Assessments.

2018 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Grade 8 Assessment Report Card: Summary Data Tables for National Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Additional Detail for National Average Scores and Percentage of Students Who Completely/Correctly Finished the Steps in a Sample Task

Percentage of students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL) at grade 8, as a percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students: 2014 and 2018

Student characteristics	2014	2018
SD and/or ELL		
Excluded	8	8
Assessed	92	92
Without accommodations	33	33
With accommodations	59	59
SD		
Excluded	8	8
Assessed	92	92
Without accommodations	23	23
With accommodations	69	69
ELL		
Excluded	9	9
Assessed	91	91
Without accommodations	54	52
With accommodations	38	39

NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

2018 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Grade 8 Assessment Report Card: Summary Data Tables for National Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Additional Detail for National Average Scores and Percentage of Students Who Completely/Correctly Finished the Steps in a Sample Task

Percentage of eighth-grade students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) assessed in NAEP technology and engineering literacy (TEL) with accommodations, by SD/ELL category and type of accommodation: 2018

Type of accommodation	SD and/or ELL	SD	ELL
Bilingual dictionary	1.09	0.12	1.08
Breaks during test	2.71	2.61	0.27
Cueing to stay on task	1.08	1.06	0.07
Directions only presented in Sign Language	_	_	_
Extended time	9.72	8.26	2.24
Hearing impaired version of the test	0.06	0.06	_
Low mobility version of the test	_	_	_
Magnification	0.09	0.09	0.01
Must have an aide present in the testing room	0.22	0.22	0.01
Other	0.16	0.16	#
Preferential seating	_	_	_
Presentation in Sign Language	0.01	0.01	_
Responds orally to a scribe	0.10	0.10	_
Response in Sign Language	0.01	0.01	_
Separate session	0.53	0.51	0.09
Special equipment	0.68	0.67	0.08
Uses template	_		

⁻ Not available.

NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories.

[#] Percentages less than .005.